

# The coverage of Trump's coronavirus diagnosis was very bad — and very revealing | Vox

By Sean Illing

October 8, 2020

Within minutes of [President Trump](#) announcing that he and first lady Melania Trump tested positive for the coronavirus, the [interwebs were awash](#) in speculation and conspiracy theories.

Is Trump faking it so that he can pretend to have recovered and thus prove the virus isn't dangerous? Or maybe he's floating the story in order to divert attention away from his [tax scandal](#) or his chaotic [debate performance](#). Or maybe the "deep state" deliberately infected Trump to undercut his reelection campaign. Or the White House is using this as an excuse to get out of the next debate.

So many exciting possibilities!

Watching the reactions to the Trump story got me thinking about our broader misinformation problem. These days, when something important or "newsy" happens, there's an avalanche of content online that overwhelms people and leaves them less certain of what's happening than before. In this case, we had a single news event — the president tested positive for the coronavirus — that set off a flood of disorienting bullshit bouncing around the information space.

[...]

Source: [The coverage of Trump's coronavirus diagnosis was very bad — and very revealing - Vox](#)